ISSN 2661-2666 (Online) International Scientific Journal Monte (ISJM)
DOI: 10.33807/monte.20243103 | Volume 9, No.1 (2024): April

CAN SCIENTIFIC APPROACH BE GENERALISED?

Ejup Rustemi[1], Mefail Tahiri[2]*
[1]University of Tetova, North Macedonia
[2]University of Tetova, North Macedonia
[*]ejup.rustemi@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

When it comes to philosophy, assumptions about unification are helpful in determining the kinds of philosophical problems to pursue and the different areas of focus to investigate. In the case of fundamentalist assumptions, for instance, one is often led to discuss epistemological and metaphysical concerns in terms of solely the outcomes and interpretations of foundational levels of disciplines. Assumptions of this kind contribute to the definition of what constitutes scientific research and help form programs that are either naturalized or scientific in nature. In this sense, they decide, or at the very least strongly indicate, what scientific discourse is appropriate and authoritative in the context of philosophical debate. This paper will give an overview of how the generalisation approach can or cannot achieve what it strives to.

Keywords: *sytems, theory, approach, generalisation, scientific.*

INTRODUCTION

There have been many different arguments for adopting stances on problems of unification throughout history, ranging from the metaphysical and theological to the epistemic, sociological, and pragmatic. These justifications have been used from Greek philosophy to current disputes. Whether it is a matter of truth or consequence, perspectives on issues pertaining to unity and unification have an impact not only on the fields of science and philosophy, but also on society as a whole on account of their application. Not only do they offer robust heuristic or methodological direction, but they also provide justification for hypotheses, projects, and particular objectives in the scientific community. Various rallying cries and idioms, such as simplicity, unity, disunity, emergence, or interdisciplinarity, have acquired a normative connotation in this context.. The extent of their responsibility as evaluators is extensive. In social contexts, particularly in circumstances involving sources of finance and profit, they are utilized to establish legitimacy, even if it is only in a rhetorical sense. They are the ones who establish a benchmark for what constitutes the authority and legitimacy of what it means to be scientific. For this reason, they have a significant impact on the evaluation, management, and implementation of scientific knowledge, particularly in public domains such as the decision-making process in the healthcare and economic sectors (Greene, 2000). For example, bringing attention to the complexity of causal structures poses a challenge to the conventional deterministic or straightforward causal approaches to policy decision-making, which involve the identification of known hazards and the unknown impacts of known features. Not the least of the factors that have an impact on science education is the influence that implicit beliefs have regarding what unification is capable of doing.

When it comes down to it, it is imperative that one does not lose sight of the bigger context that is responsible for the maintenance of problems and projects in the majority of disciplines and professions. In the same way that Kant's dove is free to fly, we are also free to chase them; but, we cannot do so without the air resistance that surrounds us to flap our wings against and against our wings. It was long believed that philosophy represented the systematic unity of the various scientific disciplines. The fundamental nature of unity evolved into the specific project of philosophy, in which conceptual unity served as the benchmark for determining whether or not something is understandable. In addition, the concept of unity, which is typically portrayed as harmony, has been a benchmark of aesthetic excellence for a considerable amount of time. However, this notion has been passionately contested by individuals such as John Bailey and Iris Murdoch. We are able to meet the cognitive and practical demands that our lives place on us, as well as the cultural expectations that our self-images place on us, which are both cosmic and earthly, with the assistance of unities

and unifications (Holman, 1983). It is unsurprising that conversations about the various interpretations and levels of unity, such as the basic level, consolidation, structure, complexity, arrangement, reduction, universality, simplicity, atomism, harmony, or entirety, can significantly and immediately impact our intellectual creativity..

THINKING INSIDE A SYSTEM

It is essential to keep in mind that the name "systems thinking" can have a variety of connotations depending on the individual understanding it. The domain of systems thinking encompasses not just a repertoire of tools and techniques, but also a fundamental philosophy that operates at a deeper level. Many inexperienced individuals are attracted to the tools, such as causal loop diagrams and management flight simulators, with the hope that these tools can help them solve ongoing problems that occur in their enterprises. However, systems thinking also encompasses an understanding of the interconnectedness and interdependence of the world we inhabit. It involves recognizing the influence of underlying structures in shaping the circumstances we face. Additionally, it acknowledges the existence of fundamental laws governing systems that may elude our awareness. Lastly, it emphasizes the importance of recognizing the repercussions of our actions, even if we are unaware of them.

Thinking about systems can also be used as a diagnostic technique. As is the case in the realm of medicine, effective treatment is preceded by a comprehensive diagnostic. When viewed in this light, systems thinking can be understood as a methodical technique that investigates issues in a more comprehensive and precise manner before taking action. Because of this, we are able to ask more insightful questions before making hasty judgments.

It is common for systems thinking to require moving from the observation of events or data to the identification of patterns of behavior across time, and then to the surfacing of the underlying structures that are responsible for those patterns and events. Increasing the number of options that are open to us and developing more gratifying, long-term solutions to chronic problems can be accomplished by gaining a knowledge of the structures that are not serving us well and making changes to those structures. This includes our mental models and perceptions (Friedman, 1994).

In general, a worldview that is based on systems thinking necessitates things like curiosity, clarity, compassion, choice, and courage. The willingness to understand a situation more completely, the recognition that we are interrelated, the acknowledgment that there are frequently multiple solutions to a problem, and the advocacy of solutions that may not be popular are all components of this approach.

In the field of inter-disciplinary research of systems in general, the general systems theory is the subject of study. The goal of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) is to investigate the principles that can be applied to the systems at any level of the systemic inquiry process.

The general theory of systems proper was first proposed by Ludwig von Bertalanffy in the year 1950. Later, in the seventies, Humberto Maturana introduced the notion of autopoiesis, which accounts for the structuring of living systems as closed networks of self-production of the components that make them. A. W. In the field of cybernetics, Ross Ashby and Norbert Wiener established a mathematical theory that is closely related to control theory. This theory is known as the mathematical theory of communication and control of systems through feedback regulation. The same decade saw the work of René Thom and E.C. In accordance with bifurcations in dynamic systems, Zeeman developed the theory of catastrophes, which is a field of mathematics that categorizes occurrences that are marked by rapid alterations in their behavior (Friedman, 1994).

Chaos theory is a mathematical theory of nonlinear dynamical systems that was first described in 1980 by David Ruelle, Edward Lorenz, Mitchell Feigenbaum, Steve Smale, and James A. Yorke. This theory describes bifurcations, unusual attractions, and chaotic motions. Complex adaptive system (CAS) is a new science of complexity that describes emergence, adaptation, and self-organization. It was proposed by John H. Holland, Murray Gell-Mann, Harold Morowitz, and W. Brian Arthur, together with ninety other individuals. A significant number of researchers at the Santa Fe Institute were the ones who initially developed it, and it is founded on computer simulations. It incorporates multiagent systems, which have emerged as an essential instrument in the field of research pertaining to social and complex systems. A lot of research is still being done in this area.

In the 20th century, the Goods and Services Tax (GST) originated as a fresh endeavor in the search for appropriate concepts and regulations for the description and interpretation of all different kinds of real or physical systems or systems. Although the GST was initially developed in the field of biology, it quickly became apparent that it had the potential to stimulate innovations in a variety of other fields, and its impact on the emergence of new fields was recognized and acknowledged. Systemics, often known as systems sciences, is a vast field that has been organized in this manner, with subfields such as cybernetics, information theory, game theory, chaos theory, and catastrophe theory. There are others, such as the most recent one, in which Biology has maintained its position as a key subject.

A parallel may be drawn between the transition from partial solutions for handling complex problems to the General Systems approach and the transition that occurred when businesses and organizations shifted their attention from individual departments to transversal processes, which were far more inclusive.

A framework for comprehending complex systems is provided by systems theories, which are a collection of principles and concepts from the field of systems theory. A wide variety of systems, including social, biological, and ecological systems, can fall under this category. Systems theory is based on the idea that different components of a system are interrelated and interdependent on one another. This is the fundamental principle of systems theory (Barker, 1995).

It indicates that complex systems are more than just the sum of their components, and that investigating the relationships between those elements can lead to a deeper understanding of the whole (Bertalanffy, 1968). This idea was proposed by Bertalanffy.

In contrast to simple, linear systems, complex systems are capable of displaying emergent features that cannot be predicted based on the behavior of individual components acting independently. Due to this, systems theorists frequently make use of interdisciplinary methodologies in order to investigate complex systems. These approaches draw from a variety of disciplines, including psychology, mathematics, physics, and sociology. Because of this, they are able to conduct an analysis of patterns of behavior and processes of regulation that are governed by feedback.

There are many other domains, including management, ecology, and social science, that can make use of systems theory in their respective applications. Researchers are able to obtain better understanding of not just the behaviors that occur at the individual level, but also those that occur at the macro and policy levels of organizations when they take a holistic approach to understanding systems.

In its most basic form, systems theory is a very useful instrument for gaining an understanding of the intricate and ever-changing systems that have an impact on our everyday lives (Bertalanffy, 1968).

The concept of feedback loops is highly significant in the field of systems theory, notably in the field of behavioral dynamics research. These loops are the recurrent flow of information that occurs between different components that are contained within a system. Positive feedback loops are a mechanism that amplifies the consequences of a specific behavior, which can result in the continuation or intensification of previously established patterns of behavior.

Negative feedback loops, on the other hand, provide the function of a regulatory mechanism, reducing the impact of behavior and fostering stability within the system. To modify behavioral patterns, it is beneficial to possess a comprehension of the mechanics of feedback loops. This comprehension can help discover opportunities for intervention, such as disrupting a positive feedback loop or strengthening a negative feedback loop..

This notion has demonstrated significant utility in fields such as social work and the investigation of mental illness and substance abuse. It offers a different method compared to conventional models that prioritize individual accountability more than bigger systems..

The field of systems theory places a strong emphasis on the interactions that occur between the various components that make up a system. This is particularly pertinent when considering behavioral systems. Individuals are reliant on one another and have an effect on the behaviors and consequences of one another within these systems. In the context of a behavioral system, the term "circularity" refers to the manner in which each component contributes to and is influenced by the others, resulting in a feedback loop that is continuous. The interplay between these factors give rise

to patterns, and the identification of patterns can be of assistance in comprehending and forecasting behaviors and results.

Research in the social sciences frequently makes use of systems theories in order to investigate the intricate interactions that occur within behavioral systems. Social scientists are able to advance their understanding of the dynamics of human behavior by analyzing the circularity of components and the patterns that develop as a result of the interactions between those components (Lewin, 1976).

Various elements within a system, such as individuals, communities, and institutions, engage with each other and affect each other, and these theories provide a structure for comprehending how these interactions and influences take place. Social scientists employ systems theories to uncover fundamental patterns and processes that influence behavioral outcomes, thereby enhancing our comprehension of human behavior in social settings.

PROPER WAY OF INCORPORATION

The seven ideas that are presented below provide educational institution leaders with direction on how to incorporate systems theories into the operation of educational institutions such as schools and colleges. In order to improve the efficiency of educational institutions, the recommendations center on the utilization of scientific principles, the creation of systems-based methods, and the comprehension of concepts that are interconnected or interdependent.

It is possible to apply the Family Systems Theory in order to gain an understanding of the interconnection that exists between students, staff, and their families. The leaders of the school are able to make decisions that are better informed and take into consideration the wider impact on the school community when they acknowledge that a change in one component of the system affects the entire system.

Systems engineering is a field of study that focuses on the skills necessary to design and manage complex systems across their entire life cycles. It is possible for leaders to take advantage of the ideas of systems engineering in order to streamline operations, enhance efficiency, and guarantee that all components of the educational system work together without any problems.

Leaders can enhance their comprehension of the dynamic behavior of individuals in the school system by acquiring knowledge of the principles of systems psychology. This information can inform strategies that seek to enhance student engagement, boost staff morale, and improve the overall school climate.

The Social Systems Theory is a theory that may be utilized to gain an understanding of the intricate interactions that take place between various groups that are a part of the school community. A healthy school culture may be promoted and any social difficulties that may occur can be addressed with the help of this understanding by the leaders of the school.

Implementation of Science: The implementation of science to theories of systems can assist school administrators in the development of more efficient strategies for the management of their institutions. For example, this could mean applying scientific ideas in order to gain a better understanding of the intricate workings of the educational system or using data to guide decision-making.

Colleges and universities are examples of adaptive systems since they are required to change and develop in response to the environment in which they are located. Leaders must to acknowledge the dynamic character of their organizations and be ready to modify their plans as required in order to accommodate the ever-evolving requirements of their staff and pupils.

Leaders who possess knowledge of the ecological systems theory can effectively perceive the interrelated concepts that influence a student's development. This data can be utilized to shape policies and strategies that promote the comprehensive growth of students, while considering many impacts that extend from the classroom to the broader community..

In conclusion, systems theories provide a complete framework for understanding the complex dynamics of a variety of systems, including social and behavioral systems, organizational and educational systems, and other types of systems.

When we acknowledge the interdependence and interconnection of the numerous components that make up a system, we are able to acquire a more profound comprehension of the system collectively. This method has the potential to inform effective treatments and tactics in a variety of domains, including those pertaining to management and education, as well as psychology and social work.

New understandings and developments in these areas are something that we can anticipate as we go with our investigation and application of ideas pertaining to systems.

The belief that communication has a role in designing and maintaining a system is what led to the rise in popularity of systems theory as a communication theory. It is impossible for a system to maintain homeostasis if it does not have communication since the feedback loop or channel will not be operating as it should otherwise. In order to maintain the optimal functioning of an interpersonal system, communication is the most important factor. One of the most important roles that systems theory plays in communication theories is that it assists in the development of methods for effective communication. These strategies can be used to individual communication, group communication, or intercultural communication. When it comes to communication, we are always speaking with people who are a part of at least one system, and we are always communicating as someone who is a part of at least one system. We will be able to communicate more effectively once we have a better understanding of our contribution to the system as well as the ways in which our choices and actions influence the other systems in which we are involved. When someone becomes isolated within a system, the ways by which we are able to effectively interact with them are severely decreased. This is due to the fact that we are potentially addressing a symptom rather than treating the underlying problem.

CONCLUSION

A greater understanding of where a problem is located within an individual's life, within a group, or within an organization can be gained through the application of systems theory in communication. We frequently find ourselves chasing ghosts of problems when this principle is not put into practice because we never properly identify the issue. This is because we never really know what the problem is. The application of systems theory not only enables us to examine a problem more closely, but it also assists us in determining the reasons behind the existence of the problem. You and I would never be able to fully recover from a sickness if medical professionals were only able to treat the symptoms of patients and never the disease itself. We can become more effective communicators if we are able to identify not only the problem itself but also the factors that are contributing to the problem. Systems theory enables us to become more successful communicators because it broadens our perspective to encompass the entire situation, allowing us to shift from a more limited perspective on a problem to a more comprehensive one. When it comes to interpersonal communication, this approach offers a more comprehensive perspective.

REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

Anderson, R. E., Carter, I., & Lowe, G. R. (1999). Human behavior in the social environment (5th ed.). New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

Barker, R. L. (1995). The social work dictionary. Washington, DC: NASW Press.

Bateson, G. (1972). Steps to an ecology of mind. San Francisco: Chandler.

Bateson, G., Jackson, D. D., Haley, J., & Weakland, J. (1956). Toward a theory of schizophrenia. Behavioral Science.

Bertalanffy, L. von. (1968). General system theory: Foundation, development, application. New York: George Braziller.

Bowen, M. (1978). Family therapy in clinical practice. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Dale, O., Smith, R., Chess, W. A., & Norlin, J. M. (2006). Human behavior in the social environment: A social systems model. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Friedman, B. D. (1994). No place like home: A study of two homeless shelters. Ann Arbor, MI: University Microfilms International.

Greene, R. R. (2000). Human behavior theory and social work practice (2nd ed.). Edison, NJ: Aldine Transactions.

Hearn, G. (1958). Theory-building in social work. Toronto, Ontario, Canada: University of Toronto Press.

Holman, A. M. (1983). Family assessment: Tools for understanding and intervention. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Lewin, K. (1976). Field theory as human science. New York: Gardner Press.

Luhmann, N. (1995). Social systems. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.